



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,572	10/23/2001	Donald E. White	205071	1824

23460 7590 06/12/2003

LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD  
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900  
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE  
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780

EXAMINER

LE, TAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3632

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/045,572

Applicant(s)

WHITE ET AL.

Examiner

Tan Le

Art Unit

3632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tan Le. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Charles Mottier. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 June 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11-13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ensch (3,229,310) and Mutz (5,653,550).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the references of Ensich and Mutz. Examiner suggests that claims 1 and 13 will be overcome the references of Ensich and Mutz if Applicants amend to include the following language -- a ratchet plate "mounted to an edge of the countertop" -- (rather than mountable or adapted to be mounted); -- the ratchet plate including a front face "sinkwardly directed" having a plurality of detents--; and -- a pawl mounted to the sink-- (rather than mountable or adapted to be mounted). Minor errors should also be corrected for the following claims : Claim 11, line 1, should be read as "wherein the pawls include a mount assembly adapted to fixedly secure"; Claim 12 should be dependent upon claim 11 rather than 12; Claim 13, line 6, should be read as "a plurality of resilient tabs", and line 7 should be read as "to engage successive detents" , and line 9 should be read as " resilient tabs have different respective lengths"-. Applicants express an intent to file an amendment to further include the language as suggested and correct the above as mentioned.